

Chapter 1

The Third Sector in Belgium



Henri Culot and Joanne Defer

Contents

1	Introduction	4
2	Historical Background	5
2.1	Summa Divisio <i>Between Companies and Non-profit Associations Prior to 1995</i>	5
2.2	Inadequate Legal Framework	7
2.3	The Introduction of the Social Purpose Company in 1995	8
3	Reforms of 2018–2019	11
3.1	Reform of Enterprise Law in 2018	11
3.2	Reform of Company and Association Law of 2019	12
4	The Non-Profit Legal Persons as an Instrument of the Social Economy	13
4.1	Non-Profit Association	13
4.1.1	New Definition of the Non-Profit Association	13
4.1.2	Convergence of the Rules Governing Non-Profit Associations with those Applicable to Companies	14
4.1.3	The Concern to Preserve the Core Specificities and Values of the Associative Form	17
4.2	Foundation	19
4.3	Mutuals	19
4.4	Accounting Rules Applicable to Non-Profit Legal Persons	20
4.5	Tax Considerations Linked to Non-Profit Legal Persons	22
4.5.1	The Tax Regime Applicable to Non-Profit Legal Persons	22
4.5.2	Tax Reductions on Donations to Some Non-Profit Legal Persons	23
5	The Company as an Instrument of the Social Economy	24
5.1	A New Approach to the Purposes of a Company	24
5.2	Should Companies and Non-Profit Associations Be Kept as Separate Legal Forms?	26
6	The Cooperative Company and Its Accreditation	26

Henri Culot is a professor of Economic Law at UCLouvain (orcid 0000-0002-3421-6542) and a member of the Brussels Bar.

Joanne Defer is a lawyer and a member of the Brussels Bar.

H. Culot (✉)

UCLouvain, CRIDES, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

e-mail: henri.culot@uclouvain.be

J. Defer

Brussels Bar, Brussels, Belgium

e-mail: joanne.defer@priouxculot.com

6.1	The Cooperative Ideal	26
6.2	The Issue of “Fake Cooperatives” and Their Accreditation as a Solution	27
6.3	The Cooperative Company Under the CCA	28
6.3.1	Characteristics of the Cooperative Form	28
6.3.2	Accreditation of the Cooperative Company	30
6.3.3	Accreditation as a Social Enterprise	31
7	Conclusion	33
	References	33

Abstract The third sector has existed in Belgium for a long time, even if it is not generally referred to by this term. It has always found legal structures to develop its activities and to foster its prosperity. This chapter shows how, starting from a rigid distinction between companies and associations, structures more adapted to the social economy or third sector have gradually emerged. Most of the chapter is devoted to the consequences of the reforms of 2018 and 2019, which have reshaped the law of enterprises, companies and associations. There is now a wide range of structures that can host social economy activities. These can be non-profit associations, which may engage in any economic activity, companies, which need not be only devoted to the enrichment of their shareholders, or cooperative companies, which can receive an accreditation in recognition of their specificities.

1 Introduction

The term ‘third sector’ is not commonly used in Belgium, but the reality it refers to is not unknown. During the nineteenth century, society evolved to be organised into ‘pillars’ (catholic, liberal and socialist), each of which consisted of institutions and organisations such as political parties, youth movements, mutual health organisations, trade unions, banking and insurance cooperatives, education networks, etc. All of these interacted with both civil society and the public authority. Although this system has evolved over time and is now largely outdated, there are still traces of it and there is a significant presence of actors on the borderline between business, functional public service and non-profit organisations.

Despite its importance, this sector has not benefited from a uniform and coherent regulation. There are, of course, many regulations specific to each particular activity, which will not be discussed in this chapter. Apart from that, the initiatives taken to grant some form of recognition or status to this sector—whatever its exact limits or definition—have remained *ad hoc* and have tended to be the fruits of legislative accidents rather than the result of a coherent policy. Nor have they achieved widespread social recognition: they involve a small number of actors (compared to the number they could potentially include), they do not last long before being abrogated or fundamentally modified, and they are hardly known to the public at large.

In practice, the third sector therefore uses a range of legal structures spreading over associations and company law. Although numbers vary according to the sources, the non-profit association is clearly, by far, the most commonly chosen social form for enterprises of the social economy.¹

After giving a historical overview to understand the current rules (actions Sects. 2 and 3), this contribution will present how non-profit legal persons (Sect. 4) and companies (Sect. 5) can be used in the social economy. We will then outline the specificities of the cooperative company (Sect. 6).

2 Historical Background

2.1 *Summa Divisio* Between Companies and Non-profit Associations Prior to 1995

For a long time, the Belgian legal system either provided for instruments that were exclusively geared towards profit-making, or for purely non-profit purposes thereby theoretically ruling out any possibility for them to actively participate in the economy. The accredited cooperative company has been a notable exception since 1962 (see Sect. 6.2 below).

In this respect, our legal system was traditionally based on a *summa divisio* between commercial companies (*société commerciale – handelsvennootschap*) and non-profit associations (*association sans but lucratif – vereniging zonder winstoogmerk*).² Commercial companies were governed by the Napoleonic Code de Commerce and then later by the Lois coordonnées ‘sur les sociétés commerciales’ [Coordinated Laws on Commercial Companies] of 1935 (hereinafter, “CLCC”). Non-profit associations were only granted legal personality after World War I, when their functioning was organized by the Law of 27 June 1921 ‘sur les associations sans but lucratif’ [concerning non-profit associations].

The intention was to maintain a strict divide between the activities that could be exercised by companies and associations. As we shall see, this rigid *summa divisio* evolved over time towards a more flexible approach to the activities and purposes of these legal forms.

Under the Code de commerce and later the CLCC, commercial companies were profit oriented. Article 1 CLCC provided that the purpose of a commercial company was to engage in commercial transactions (*actes de commerce – daden van koophandel*).

¹De Gols and Leurquin (2020). These authors also provide valuable statistics on the social economy in Belgium, regarding, for example the size of enterprises, the level of employment, the economic sectors in which they are active, etc.

²Gol (2021).

Commercial transactions were those listed by articles 2 to 3 of the Code of Commerce (Code de commerce—Wetboek van koophandel). They include the sale of merchandise, factories and manufacturers, banking, exchange and finance activities, and many more. Those operations were presumed to be conducted with the intention of making profits.³ Agricultural activities, medical and para-medical professions, legal professions, such as lawyers and notaries, etc. were not considered as commercial activities. This comes from an older tradition when these activities were deemed honourable for the upper classes and the nobility, were classified as civil activities and were supposed to be carried out without an intention of making profits.⁴

Commercial activities could be carried out by individuals or by companies. In the latter case, the commercial company was intended to pursue a commercial activity that would generate profits to be shared between its shareholders.⁵ The common intention of the shareholders to seek and share profits was the very essence of a commercial company.

Until the end of World War I, non-profit associations were neither legally recognised nor regulated. The underlying reason was the government's fear that associations such as trade-unions, professional corporations, religious congregations or political associations could become powerful,⁶ as well as the related concern that they could, over time, build up large estates without ever paying inheritance tax.⁷

It took 90 years for the freedom of association enshrined in the Constitution since 1831 to be implemented with the Law of 27 June 1921 concerning non-profit associations. This law provided that non-profit associations were forbidden (i) to exercise a commercial or industrial activity and (ii) to provide their members with any material gain (article 1 of the Law of 27 June 1921). They were thus supposed to pursue a “disinterested purpose” (*but désintéressé – belangeloos doel*), conceived as a social mission of charitable, educational, cultural, folkloric, sanitary or other nature, excluding the enrichment of the members. Moreover, this goal was to be realised without engaging in commercial or industrial activities.⁸

The possibility for a non-profit association to engage in an ancillary commercial activity was nonetheless foreseen by the preparatory legislative work and commonly admitted in practice,⁹ provided that it was necessary for the achievement of its disinterested purpose and that the generated profits were solely allocated to the enhancement of this purpose (and not to the members of the association). However,

³Coipel (2020).

⁴Simonart (2016).

⁵Tilquin and Fanard (2008).

⁶Bogaert (2019).

⁷For more details about the historical developments, see Coipel and Davagle (2017).

⁸For more details about this definition and the numerous controversies that it generated, see Coipel and Davagle (2017).

⁹t'Kint (2013).

the definitions of “necessary” and “ancillary” and their practical implications generated intense controversy in doctrine and case-law, as well as abuses in practice.¹⁰

Many non-profit associations carried out commercial activities (almost) without any limit. This was a consequence of a judgment of the Court of Cassation in the case known as the “the priest’s swimming pool”,¹¹ linking the definition of commercial transactions to the intention of making profit. A priest operated a swimming pool as part of the parish activities. The Court ruled that this activity could not be qualified as commercial (although any other public swimming pool would be) because the priest did not seek to make profits but rather to serve his community. More generally, the Court held that the activities enumerated by articles 2 to 3 of the Code of commerce were presumed to be carried out with an intention of making profits. However, their commercial nature could be refuted in a particular case if it was established that they were not carried out with an intention of making profits. Since non-profit associations did not intend to make profits, it was nearly impossible to establish the commercial nature of their activities.¹²

This judgment of the Court of Cassation has been criticized because it led to legal uncertainty and, according to some authors, to discrimination between (i) the private for-profit sector on one side, and (ii) the private non-profit sector as well as the public sector, on the other side.¹³ With this binary theory, the rules applicable to commercial actors were inaccessible to the private non-profit sector (i.e. social economy initiatives adopting the non-profit association form), even though they carried out the same activities.

2.2 *Inadequate Legal Framework*

This legal landscape proved to be somewhat ill-suited to the actors of the social economy sector who aspired to pursue a socially driven economic activity without the intention to make and distribute profits.

Indeed, legal uncertainty prevailed regarding the activities that non-profit associations could engage in. Without being able to precisely determine the meaning of “ancillary”, social economy initiatives adopting the non-profit association form and pursuing a commercial activity other than ancillary constantly faced the risk of judicial dissolution (article 18 of the Law of 27 June 1921), and their directors the risk of being held liable. Furthermore, non-profit associations typically had poorer access to bank loans.¹⁴

¹⁰Garroy (2021).

¹¹Cass. (1973).

¹²Thirion (2010).

¹³Thirion (2010).

¹⁴Lemaitre (1996).

Moreover, insofar as the core purpose of a commercial company is to seek and share profits, it could not be validly constituted for other purposes (including social purposes), and it could not validly act selflessly (make donations, for example).¹⁵ Legal acts without an appropriate consideration could be declared void.

Consequently, neither the commercial company nor the non-profit association form equipped the actors of the social economy sector with the adequate legal structure to smoothly implement such initiatives.¹⁶ However, such structures were needed by many actors of major importance, such as schools and universities, hospitals, charities selling various goods and services, and the like.

The cooperative company offered an interesting but limited alternative. The recognition of this company form in Belgium is linked to the increasing importance devoted to social issues in the second half of the nineteenth century.

The cooperative company was designed to be a collaborative framework between workers and/or customers and itself.¹⁷ It was based on the idea that it operates in the balanced interests of shareholders (who are sometimes also workers or customers) to satisfy their professional or private needs. At the time of their creation, the (public) limited liability company (*société anonyme – naamloze vennootschap*) was designed for capitalists engaging in substantial business activities, while the cooperative company served as its counterpart for the poor who pooled their efforts to survive. The modest investments supposedly involved justified a much lighter regulatory framework.

The cooperative company evolved over time and became a useful instrument for some social economy initiatives, but it did not encompass all its possible forms. It was designed to satisfy its shareholders' needs; it did not necessarily extend to the pursuit of a social mission and, above all, it remained a company with the mandatory goal of making profits for the benefit of the shareholders. In practice, the cooperative company form was often diverted from its original ideal because of the high degree of flexibility offered by its regime.¹⁸ The cooperative company will be further discussed in Sect. 6.

2.3 The Introduction of the Social Purpose Company in 1995

To provide a legal status to entities wishing to engage in commercial transactions without making profits (or limited profits only), the Law of 13 April 1995 'modifiant les lois sur les sociétés commerciales, coordonnées le 30 novembre 1935' [amending the laws on commercial companies, coordinated on 30 November 1935] (MB 17 June

¹⁵Cass. (2005); Cf. François and Verheyden (2021).

¹⁶Demonty (1998).

¹⁷Culot and Tissot (2018).

¹⁸Corbisier (2021).

1995) introduced a new instrument to the Belgian legal landscape: the social purpose company (*société à finalité sociale – vennootschap met een sociaal oogmerk*).

The social purpose company was a legal status that could be adopted by any of the regular company forms governed by Belgian law. In other words, it was a social variant to the existing company forms.¹⁹ It was also thought of as a label signalling the particular purpose of the company.

Like any commercial company, a social purpose company could engage in commercial transactions. However, contrary to an ordinary company, the social purpose company did not intend to (significantly) enrich its shareholders: they could seek limited profits or no profit at all.²⁰ Its articles of incorporation had to specify the following (article 164*bis* CLCC which became article 661 of the Companies' Code):

- The social purpose of the company must be precisely described in the articles of incorporation. They must explain how the activities of the company will realize this social purpose. The main goal of the company cannot be the enrichment of its shareholders.
- The shareholders seek no financial advantage or a limited financial advantage only.
- In case of a limited financial advantage, the maximum rate of distribution of the profits cannot exceed the interest rate established by a Royal Decree in execution of the Law of 20 July 1955. Since 1996, this interest rate has been fixed at 6%, meaning that a maximum of 6% of paid-up capital could be distributed as dividends every year (article 1, § 2, 5° of the Royal Decree of 8 January 1962 'fixant les conditions d'agrément des groupements nationaux de sociétés coopératives et des sociétés coopératives' [laying down the conditions for the accreditation of national groupings of cooperative companies and of cooperative companies], MB 19 January 1962). This was coupled with a special tax regime whereby, up to a limited nominal amount, the dividend paid by a social purpose company was not subject to withholding tax.
- The social purpose pursued by the social purpose company can be social, cultural, humanitarian, religious, educational, environmental, and more.²¹

It can be achieved in different ways. Generally, the social purpose is achieved through the activities of the company. For instance, a social purpose company can employ former prisoners to foster their rehabilitation. Others prefer to allocate their profits to the chosen social purpose, in particular by making donations.²²

- The board of directors must draft a special report annually to describe (i) how the company ensures the achievement of its social purpose and (ii) how expenses are

¹⁹Mercier (2016).

²⁰Tilquin and Fanard (2008).

²¹Lemaitre (1996).

²²Demonty (1998).

allocated to achieve this purpose.²³ This is conceived as a means to prevent abuses.

- The terms according to which its employees can become shareholders 1 year after being recruited, and according to which they lose this quality 1 year after leaving the company. It is a specificity of the social purpose company to be obliged to allow for its workers to participate in its capital.²⁴
- The limitation of the voting power at the general meeting of the shareholders. Democracy being a fundamental feature of the social economy, voting at the general meeting may be implemented either by following the “one person, one vote” principle or by granting one vote per share with a limit of 10% of the voting power.²⁵
- An asset-lock clause was mandatory. In the event of liquidation, after all liabilities have been cleared and the shares have been repaid, liquidation proceeds must “be allocated in a way that comes as close as possible to the company’s social purpose”. This means that they cannot be allocated to the shareholders, which is coherent with the “no or limited profit” condition.

This set of conditions, especially the mandatory workers’ participation, was often regarded as too constraining, in view of the meagre (fiscal, reputational, etc.) advantages linked to this special status. The social purpose company therefore proved to be less successful in practice than anticipated.²⁶ Statistics are not easy to find, but sources refer to approximately 1000 cooperative companies with a social purpose.²⁷

The non-profit association form was, in most cases, more appealing because it was mandatory to be eligible for various sorts of public support.²⁸ Non-profit associations also benefit from a favourable tax regime, in so far as they do not carry out any commercial activity other than an ancillary activity (see Sect. 4.5.1).

Later reforms have somewhat softened the traditional *summa divisio* between companies and associations, but not necessarily entirely in the interests of the actors of the social economy sector. This essentially implied the application of the rules of company law to the non-profit associations, or at least to some of them. For instance, in 2014, rules governing the auditing of accounts were made applicable to the larger non-profit associations. Non-profit associations also became subject to the material jurisdiction of commercial courts (in lieu of the previously competent civil courts).²⁹

²³Demonty (1998).

²⁴Coipel (2008).

²⁵Coipel (2008).

²⁶Aydogdu (2021).

²⁷De Gols and Leurquin (2020). Companies that are not cooperatives could also have a social purpose, but cooperatives were by far the most common form of social purpose companies.

²⁸Defourmy and Nyssens (2008).

²⁹Gol (2021).

3 Reforms of 2018–2019

Two new pieces of legislation have turned the existing legislative landscape upside down: the Law of 15 April 2018 ‘portant réforme du droit des entreprises’ [reforming the law of enterprises] (MB 27 April 2018) and the Law of 23 March 2019 ‘introduisant le Code des sociétés et des associations et portant des dispositions diverses’ [law introducing the Code of Companies and Associations and containing various provisions] (MB 4 April 2019).

3.1 Reform of Enterprise Law in 2018

Firstly, the Law of 15 April 2018 replaced the concept of “commerciality”, and thus of a commercial company, with the concept of “enterprise” (article 254, al. 1 of the Law of 15 April 2018). As a consequence, the Code of Commerce was replaced by the Code of Economic Law (Code de droit économique – Wetboek van economisch recht).³⁰

The definition of the enterprise is a formal rather than a material one. In other words, entities fall under the definition of an enterprise for what they are, rather than for the activities in which they are engaged. Subject to specific exceptions, the enterprise encompasses the following organizations: (i) natural persons with a self-employed activity, (ii) all legal persons and (iii) all other organizations without a legal personality, except if they do not intend to distribute profits to their members or directors (article I.1, al. 1 of the Code of Economic Law).

The concept of enterprise is thus much broader than the concept of commercial actor. All non-profit organisations are enterprises if they have a legal personality.

One of the main objectives behind this paradigm shift was to scrap the distinction between commercial operations and non-commercial operations, which became more and more difficult to maintain, especially when faced with non-profit associations that carried out economic activities as their main activities.³¹ It was necessary to reconcile the legal framework with this reality.³² The distinction between commercial and non-commercial companies therefore disappeared.

A consequence of the adoption of the new concept of enterprise is that the rules that previously applied to commercial actors now apply to all enterprises. This was nonetheless not as ground-breaking as it may seem since, as stated previously, many rules of the commercial economy already applied to non-profit associations. Among the most important changes are:

³⁰Garroy (2021).

³¹Culot (2020).

³²Bogaert (2019).

- The extension to non-profit associations of the freedom to undertake any economic activity,³³ as established by articles II.3 and II.4 of the Code of Economic Law: “Everyone is free to carry out the economic activity of their choice”.
- The application of insolvency law to non-profit associations. While previously only commercial actors could be declared bankrupt, now all enterprises can face bankruptcy (articles I.22, 8° and XX.99 of the Code of Economic Law).
- The competence of the commercial courts (renamed enterprise courts) for all matters concerning non-profit associations, which was already partially the case since 2014.

The associative sector was generally not keen on the qualification of non-profit associations as enterprises.³⁴ Within the ordinary understanding of the concept, an enterprise is characterised by an intention to seek and share profits, thereby enriching its owners. It refers, at least symbolically, to the world of business and capitalism, of which many non-profit associations do not want to be a part.

This conceptual revolution has nonetheless brought new perspectives to the social economy sector. Non-profit associations provided actors of this sector with a more adequate instrument to combine a social mission with an economic activity. An outcome of this first reform was to highlight that, contrary to an ancient belief, not all enterprises seek and share profits.

3.2 Reform of Company and Association Law of 2019

Secondly, the Law of 23 March 2019 introduced the Code of Companies and Associations (Code des sociétés et des associations – Wetboek van vennootschappen en verenigingen) (hereinafter, the “CCA”).

The CCA was adopted to replace the former Code of Companies of 1999 (Code des sociétés – Wetboek van vennootschappen), which itself replaced the aforementioned Coordinated Laws on Commercial Companies.

The main objectives of this reform were a “simplification, flexibilization, modernisation and international mobility” of Belgian company law in order to make it competitive and attract more business within the territory.³⁵

The new code gathers in one *corpus* the rules applicable to companies and those applicable to non-profit associations (as well as foundations). It therefore also replaces the Law of 27 June 1921 governing non-profit associations.

Albeit not all-encompassing, the convergence between both sets of rules was strengthened, especially in “Book 2” laying down rules applicable to all legal

³³ Culot (2020).

³⁴ Culot (2020).

³⁵ Dieux (2019).

persons. Many mechanisms and solutions that were previously specific to companies now apply to non-profit associations as well. Without going into detail at this point, this includes rules concerning day-to-day management, directors' liability, conflicts of interests, liquidation procedure, etc.³⁶ This assimilation certainly makes the legal form of non-profit association more suitable than before to the pursuit of a socially driven economic activity.

Above all, the new code introduces a new (but only partially different) distinguishing criterion between companies and non-profit associations: the distribution or non-distribution of profits. This means that the prohibition on conducting commercial activities (a concept that had been repealed in 2018 anyway) is no longer a distinguishing feature of the non-profit association. The new definitions of the company and the non-profit association based on this criterion is further discussed in Sects. 4 and 5.

4 The Non-Profit Legal Persons as an Instrument of the Social Economy

4.1 Non-Profit Association

4.1.1 New Definition of the Non-Profit Association

As previously stated, the Law of 27 June 1921 forbade non-profit associations from (i) pursuing any commercial activity other than that considered to be ancillary and necessary to its disinterested goal and from (ii) distributing profits to its members or directors.

Article 1:2 CCA henceforth provides for a new definition of the non-profit association. It states that a non-profit association is driven by a disinterested goal in the pursuit of one or more specific activities.

There is no longer any restriction to the nature of the activities that can be carried out by a non-profit association. Read together with the previously mentioned articles II.3 and II.4 of the Code of Economic Law which proclaim the freedom to undertake any economic activity, this new definition is understood as permitting non-profit associations to carry out socially driven economic activities or any other economic activity. Consequently, non-profit associations are henceforth in principle allowed to seek profits in the pursuit of their economic activity, even if the interplay with other types of rules (subsidies, tax rules) makes this less easy in practice.³⁷

However, the same provision states that a non-profit association may not distribute or procure any direct or indirect financial advantage to its founders, members, directors, or any other person, except in pursuit of its disinterested goal. Here lies the

³⁶Gol (2021).

³⁷Denef and Van Baelen (2020).

new distinguishing feature between companies and non-profit associations: while companies must seek and share profits, non-profit associations can seek profits but are prohibited from distributing them directly or indirectly, under penalty of nullity of the operation.

The preparatory work of the CCA reveals that prohibited distributions encompass any distribution or capital transfer from the non-profit association, which are comparable to a dividend payment in a company.³⁸

Disguised distributions, that is, any transfer of assets or value outside of market conditions, are also prohibited. Indirect distributions are defined by article 1:4 CCA as operations of the non-profit association by which its assets decrease or its liabilities increase and for which it receives a compensation that it is patently too low or non-existent.

This prohibition is mitigated by the explicit authorization to provide services for free or at advantageous prices when this falls within the scope of the disinterested goal of the non-profit association. Those advantages must be delivered within the limits of a normal fulfilment of its specified activities (article 1:4, al. 2 CCA).

As was previously the case, Belgian law also recognizes the international non-profit association as an alternative form of association. It is defined as an association within the meaning of article 1:2 CCA which has a purpose of international utility (article 10:1 CCA). Its legal personality is granted by a royal decree.

The international non-profit association is governed according to the same principles as its ‘domestic’ counterpart, although the CCA grants a higher level of flexibility to the founders and members of an international non-profit association in modelling its articles of incorporation and its operating rules. An international non-profit association is recognised as an enterprise which can undertake the economic activity of its choice, provided that it does not distribute its profit to its members or directors, even indirectly.³⁹

4.1.2 Convergence of the Rules Governing Non-Profit Associations with those Applicable to Companies

When referring to non-profit associations, the term “non-profit” is increasingly replaced by “social profit”, in an effort to express their “economic weight and their legitimacy as ‘enterprises’”.⁴⁰

The CCA has introduced rules—drawn from company law—which make non-profit associations better equipped to participate in the economy. The main objectives are to make them technically efficient and to provide third parties such as creditors with an adequate protection.⁴¹

³⁸Projet de loi portant réforme du droit des entreprises, exposé des motifs, *Doc. parl.*, Ch. repr., sess. ord. 2017–2018, n° 54 2828/001, pp. 13–14.

³⁹Navez and Deckers (2020)

⁴⁰Nyssens and Huybrechts (2020).

⁴¹Garroy (2021).

The following evolutions are significant:

- Harmonisation of the rules governing the liability of directors in companies and non-profit associations. Under the former regime, directors of non-profit associations were liable for any error or negligence (even the slightest) committed in the performance of their duties, regardless of whether this affected the non-profit association itself or third parties.⁴²

They are now subject to the same provision applicable to directors of companies, which clarifies that directors are only liable to the company or association for decisions, acts or conducts that patently exceed the margin within which prudent and diligent directors in the same circumstances can reasonably have a diverging opinion (article 2:56, al. 1 CCA). *Vis-à-vis* third parties and the non-profit association itself, directors are liable for any damage resulting from a violation of the CCA or of the articles of incorporation of the entity (article 2:56, al. 3 CCA).

The amount of damages to be paid by the directors is capped to an amount fixed between 125,000 EUR and 12 million EUR, depending on the legal person's annual turnover and the total of its balance sheet. The directors do not benefit from the cap in case of gross negligence or wilful misconduct, among other exceptions. This provides a more comfortable framework for directors of a non-profit association to carry out an economic activity, considering the inevitable risks of business.

- Adoption of conflict of interest rules for non-profit associations. The avoidance of conflicts of interest was previously solely guided by good governance principles and potentially by the articles of incorporation of the entity. No hard law rules provided for a mandatory procedure to be observed in such situations.⁴³

The CCA now defines a conflict of interest in a non-profit association as a situation in which the board of directors is called upon to make a decision in relation to which a director, either directly or indirectly, has a conflicting interest of a patrimonial nature. The CCA provides for a thorough *modus operandi* modelled on the one applicable to companies. It ensures that the best interests of the non-profit association and its members are protected, notably by excluding the conflicted director from the decision-making process and by imposing publicity measures that prevent the operation from being carried out in secret (article 9:8 CCA).

- Creation of an “alarm bell” procedure for non-profit associations. Under article 2:52 CCA (which applies to all legal persons), if serious and consistent matters are likely to jeopardise the continuity of the legal person, the board of directors shall deliberate on the measures that should be taken to ensure the continuity of the economic activity for a minimum of 12 months.

The rationale of this rule is to protect economic exchanges and relationships (especially creditors) by ensuring that financial difficulties are not left without an

⁴²Simonart (2020).

⁴³Coipel and Davagle (2017); Simonart (2020).

appropriate response. This also applies to non-profit associations which are now enterprises like any other and will presumably gain greater economic weight.

- Creation of an elaborate liquidation procedure applicable to non-profit associations. The Law of 27 June 1921 only provided for rather sketchy liquidation rules. This new procedure resembles that applicable to companies to ensure that non-profit associations do not opt for liquidation to avoid bankruptcy and paying back their creditors.⁴⁴
- Extension of the definition of day-to-day management. Since the Law of 27 June 1921 did not provide for a definition of this concept, associations had to settle for the narrow definition established by the Court of Cassation according to which day-to-day management was limited to the daily needs of the association and the accomplishment of acts that are both urgent and of minor importance.⁴⁵

The CCA now defines day-to-day management as acts and decisions which do not exceed the daily needs of the associations, or which do not justify the intervention of the board of directors because of their minor importance or urgent nature (article 9:10, al. 2 CCA). Therefore, it is no longer a requirement that the acts to be accomplished be both urgent and of minor importance: they can be urgent, of minor importance or both.

This broader definition, which also applies to companies, provides managers with a higher degree of flexibility and freedom to efficiently pursue the non-profit association's economy activity.

Possibility to appoint a legal person as a director of a non-profit association. The legal person is represented by a permanent representative (articles 9:5, al. 1 et 2:55 CCA).

Some elements of company law have nonetheless not been made applicable to non-profit associations:

- Companies and non-profit associations are still governed by two different paradigms. A company is characterised by an association of shareholders who make a contribution. In exchange for their investment, they receive shares in the company. By contrast, membership in a non-profit association is not conditional upon an investment and does not entail the right to hold shares in return.
- Founders of a company must prepare a financial plan in which they justify the amount of the initial contributions considering the planned activities for a minimum period of 2 years (articles 5:4, 6:5 and 7:3 CCA). The financial plan must notably include a description of the activities, a description of the sources of funding and a revenue and expense budget. In the event of bankruptcy within 3 years after the incorporation of the company, if the contributions were patently too low to sustain the planned activities for a period of at least 2 years, the

⁴⁴Simonart (2020).

⁴⁵Cass. (2009).

founders may be held liable for the company's commitments (articles 5:16, 6:17 and 7:18 CCA).

The obligation to prepare a financial plan and the liability of the founders do not exist in non-profit associations since founders do not make contributions.

- As the non-profit association does not issue shares in consideration for contributions, rules governing transfers of shares do not apply to them.
- Likewise, rules governing capital increases do not apply to non-profit associations since they do not have a capital.
- As previously stated, an “alarm bell” procedure is now provided for in cases where the continuity of the non-profit association is jeopardised. However, unlike companies, the board of director does not have to call a general meeting to discuss the measures to be adopted and therefore the board will not be held liable for damage suffered by a third party for not convening such a meeting (articles 5:153, 6:119 and 7:228 CCA).
- Rules regarding control, parent companies and subsidiaries that apply to companies do not apply to non-profit associations (articles 1:14 sq. CCA). This also results from the absence of shares in non-profit associations. The concept of ownership remains inexistent in the associative form and, consequently, the logic of corporate groups has not been transposed to non-profit associations, notwithstanding the acknowledged fact that some associations are controlled by others and that, therefore, groups of associations exist in much the same way as groups of companies.

Overall, recent legislative evolutions have tended to move towards a convergence of the rules applicable to companies and to non-profit associations. This should make them more suitable to pursue an economic activity and certainly constitutes a more favourable framework for the implementation of social economy initiatives.

4.1.3 The Concern to Preserve the Core Specificities and Values of the Associative Form

One could, however, question whether this assimilation goes too far. Aiming to preserve the core specificities and values of the associative sector, some authors place a value on fighting against fake non-profit associations, much the same way as they do against fake cooperative companies (see Sect. 6.2). Fake non-profit associations are those which use the legal form of a non-profit association with the intention to enrich their members.⁴⁶

In this regard, the CCA provides for specific sanctions to ensure that non-profit associations remain faithful to their intrinsic characteristics⁴⁷:

⁴⁶Culot (2020).

⁴⁷Coipel (2020).

- Nullity of a non-profit association if it is not incorporated to pursue a disinterested goal but is rather established for profit purposes (article 9:4, 4° and 5° CCA).
- Nullity of operations in breach of the disinterested goal pursued by the non-profit association (article 1:2 CCA).
- Judicial dissolution of the non-profit association may be requested if it does not allocate its profits to its disinterested goal or if it distributes or procures a direct or indirect financial advantage to its members or directors (article 2:113, 2° and 3° CCA).
- An asset-lock applies to non-profit associations. If they are liquidated, the proceeds may not be allocated directly or indirectly to the members or to the directors. The general meeting will decide on the allocation of the remaining assets, failing which the liquidator will have to transfer the assets to “an allocation that comes as close as possible to the purpose for which the association was established” (article 2:132 CCA).

Some authors contend that the aforementioned sanctions might not suffice to safeguard the ethics of the associative form and that specificities other than the pursuit of a disinterested goal and the prohibition of distributions should have been considered by the CCA.

According to Michel Coipel, the functioning of the non-profit association must reflect the primacy of its social mission. Abstaining from distributions and procuring financial advantages is not sufficient, the “lifestyle” of the non-profit association must also remain sober and conservative. Coipel specifically refers to “unnecessary and lavish expenditures” such as excessive remunerations, visits to restaurants, luxury cars or international travel, which are incompatible with the values of the associative sector.⁴⁸

In this regard, he argues that the annual management reporting obligations for larger non-profit associations should have been tailored to the specificities of the associative form, rather than being identical to the obligations applicable to non-listed companies. The annual management report should demonstrate how expenditures (including remunerations) contribute to the realisation of the social mission pursued by the non-profit association.⁴⁹

Similarly, as previously stated, conflict of interest rules applicable to companies have been entirely transposed to non-profit associations, without considering that patrimonial conflicts of interest are less likely to occur than in a company, while moral conflicts are more likely to emerge and should have been envisaged by the CCA.⁵⁰

To sum up, while a convergence of the rules governing companies and non-profit associations is welcome to enable the latter to actively participate in the economy,

⁴⁸Coipel (2020).

⁴⁹Coipel (2020).

⁵⁰Coipel and Davagle (2019).

this should not go so far as a complete assimilation disregarding the specificities of the associative form.

4.2 *Foundation*

A foundation displays the following features (article 1:3 CCA):

- It is a legal person without members;
- It is established by a legal act by one or more persons;
- Its assets are allocated to the pursuit of a disinterested purpose in the context of the exercise of one or more specific activities which constitute its object;
- Like an association, it may not distribute or procure, directly or indirectly, any financial advantage to its founders, directors or any other person, except for the disinterested purpose determined by its articles of incorporation.

A foundation can be recognised to be of “public utility” if “it seeks to carry out a mission of a philanthropic, philosophical, religious, scientific, artistic, educational or cultural nature” (article 11:1 CCA). Other foundations are called “private foundations”.

The main difference between foundations and non-profit associations is that the foundation has no members and thus no general meeting. It is a pool of assets managed by a board of directors. A non-profit association must have at least two members, but they are not under the obligation to make a financial contribution.⁵¹

4.3 *Mutuals*

Mutuals (*mutualités – ziekenfondsen*) are regulated by the Law of 6 August 1990 ‘relative aux mutualités et aux unions nationales de mutualités’ [on mutuals and national unions of mutuals] (MB 28 September 1990).

They are defined as associations of natural persons which, in a spirit of foresight, mutual assistance and solidarity, aim to promote physical, mental, and social well-being.⁵²

In concrete terms, their missions are provided for by law and consist of, at least⁵³:

- Participating in the execution of the compulsory health insurance. For instance, mutuals process refunds of their members’ healthcare provider consultation

⁵¹Coipel and Davagle (2017).

⁵²Article 2, §1 of the Law of 6 August 1990.

⁵³Article 3, al. 1 of the Law of 6 August 1990.

certificates. As refunds are provided for by the public social security system, they are identical for all mutuels.

- Providing refunds for the prevention and treatment of illness and disability, as well as allowances for work incapacity and the promotion of physical, mental and social well-being (i.e. supplementary health insurance). For instance, mutuels can provide their members with allowances to (partially) compensate for their psychotherapy sessions or their membership in a sports club.
- In a general perspective, providing help, information, guidance, and assistance to promote physical, mental, and social well-being.

All natural persons must be affiliated to the mutual of their choice in order to benefit from refunds and allowances. To remain affiliated, it is compulsory to pay a subscription fee.

Similarly to a non-profit association, a mutual is composed of two organs: a board of directors and a general meeting.

The board of directors is elected by the assembly which is composed of all the natural persons who are members of the mutual. Among other things, the general meeting defines the content of the supplementary health insurance that the mutual offers to its members.⁵⁴

All mutuels must be affiliated to a national union of mutuels (*unions nationales de mutualités – landsbonden van ziekenfondsen*) which is an association of at least two mutuels. There are five national unions of mutuels in Belgium, some of which are historically linked to a political or philosophical movement: Christian, neutral, socialist, liberal, and free.

One of the main missions of national unions of mutuels is to supervise the proper functioning of its affiliated mutuels.⁵⁵

4.4 Accounting Rules Applicable to Non-Profit Legal Persons

Non-profit associations were formerly exempt from any accountancy obligation, and thus from the obligation to publish their annual accounts (contrarily to companies). This leniency was explained by the purely “disinterested” conception of their purpose and the prohibition to engage in commercial and industrial activities, that applied at the time. In addition, accounting obligations were thought to limit the freedom of association.⁵⁶

However, non-profit associations did engage in commercial activities and some of them carried a large economic weight (see Sect. 2.1). Moreover, a few scandals

⁵⁴Article 9, §1, 4° of the Law of 6 August 1990.

⁵⁵Office de Contrôle des Mutualités (2022).

⁵⁶Killesse (2004).