

INDEX

	<i>page</i>
<i>List of Contributors</i>	XI

1.

INTRODUCTION

Benedetta Ubertazzi

1. EPP0 and the Rule of Law	1
2. EPP0 as the New Protagonist in Defending the Rule of Law	2
3. EPP0's Investigations: Between Problems and Respect for the Rule of Law	4
4. EPP0 and other EU Institutions: Further Protecting the Rule of Law	6
5. Conclusion	8

SECTION 1

EPPO AS THE NEW PROTAGONIST IN DEFENDING THE RULE OF LAW

2.

THE MEDIA ECHO OF THE EUROPEAN PUBLIC PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE: WHERE ARE WE AT?

<i>Roberto Saviano</i>	11
------------------------	----

3.

THE EUROPEAN PUBLIC PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE: A STEP TOWARDS EUROPEAN JUDICIAL INTEGRATION

<i>Francesco Testa</i>	33
------------------------	----

4.

COOPERATION BETWEEN EPPO AND THE COMMISSION
IN THE FRAMEWORK OF THE CONDITIONALITY
REGULATION: CAN EPPO CONTRIBUTE TO
ENFORCING THE RULE OF LAW?

<i>Federica Iorio</i>	39
1. Introduction	39
2. The Conditionality Regulation and the link between the Rule of Law and the EU's financial interests	41
3. EPPO's privileged position for the assessment of the efficiency and fairness of national prosecution, judicial and law enforcement systems	43
4. EPPO's potential contribution to the enforcement of the Rule of Law: the limit of enhanced cooperation	45
4.1. EPPO's cooperation with the Commission in the enforcement of the Conditionality Regulation in participating Member States	46
4.2. EPPO's cooperation with the Commission in the enforcement of the Conditionality Regulation in non-participating Member States	49
5. Conclusions	52
Bibliography	52

5.

EPPO AND THE RULE OF LAW

<i>Lorenzo Salazar</i>	55
------------------------	----

SECTION 2

EPPO'S INVESTIGATIONS: BETWEEN PROBLEMS
AND RESPECT FOR THE RULE OF LAW

6.

FOR A NORMATIVE REBALANCE OF THE EPPO
REGULATION TO SAFEGUARD THE ADVERSARIAL
PROCESS

<i>Ludovica Tavassi</i>	61
1. Introduction	61

	<i>page</i>
2. An unstable regulatory building	62
3. Indiscriminate access to evidence	63
4. Prevent forum shopping	65
5. Rules of jurisdiction allocation	67
6. The significance of the chronological factor	69
7. Obstacles to the right of defence	70
8. Future prospective	71
Bibliography	72
7.	
EPPO AND THE CRISIS OF DUE PROCESS	
<i>Oliviero Mazza</i>	75
8.	
THE RULE OF LAW WITHIN THE ACTIVITY OF EPPO A TRIPLE PERSPECTIVE	
<i>Dorel Herinean</i>	79
1. Introduction	79
2. The general EU law perspective	80
2.1. The EU Rule of Law and the primacy of the EU law	81
2.2. The framework of the EPPO and the Rule of Law	81
2.3. The decisions emitted by the EPPO and the Rule of Law	82
2.4. An interesting aspect – the liability of legal persons in the EU law	84
3. The substantive law perspective	86
3.1. The incrimination texts in different States	87
3.2. Other substantive law issues	88
3.3. The sanctions	89
4. The procedural law perspective	90
4.1. The general principles of investigations	91
4.2. The legality of the investigations	92
4.3. The procedural safeguards	92
4.4. The criminal trial before a court	94
5. A case study – the Romanian statute of limitations issue	95
6. Conclusions	97

9.

SETTLEMENT OF CONFLICTS OF COMPETENCE
BETWEEN THE EUROPEAN PUBLIC PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE
AND NATIONAL AUTHORITIES: THE SPANISH CASE

<i>Alejandro Hernández López</i>	99
1. Introduction	99
2. Competence limits and exercise of the competence of the EPPO	101
2.1. Material scope of competence	101
2.2. Exercise of competence	102
3. Settlement of conflicts of competence according to the EPPO Regulation	103
3.1. Decision at the national level	103
3.2. Role of the Court of Justice of the European Union	105
4. Settlement of conflicts of competence according to the Spanish law	107
4.1. The heterodox system introduced by the LO 9/2021	107
4.2. Preliminary ruling request	109
5. The way forward: reflections and proposals	112
5.1. At the Spanish level	112
5.2. At the European Union level	113
6. Final remarks	116
Bibliography	117

SECTION 3

EPPO AND OTHER EU INSTITUTIONS:
FURTHER PROTECTING THE RULE OF LAW

10.

OLAF AND EPPO: INTERACTIONS AND COLLABORATION

<i>Petr Klement</i>	121
1. Introduction	121
2. OLAF and EPPO – The Codified Affection	123
3. Support to the New Model at the Starting Position	125
4. EPPO and OLAF – Similarities and Differences	127
5. The Complementarity Model under the Test	130
5.1. OLAF Support to EPPO	130
5.2. OLAF Complementary Investigations	132

	<i>page</i>
6. Admissibility of Evidence	133
7. The Further Development and Topography of Bodies Protecting the Financial Interests of the EU	136
Bibliography	138
11.	
EPPO RELATIONS: EUROJUST, OLAF AND EUROPOL PROSPECTIVE OBSERVATIONS	
<i>Pietro Suchan</i>	139
1. The relationship between EPPO and Eurojust	139
1.1. Key points of specific interest regarding the relationship with Eurojust	140
1.2. Possible involvements of Eurojust	141
1.3. EPPO's independence	145
1.4. Legal basis of the relationship with Eurojust	145
1.5. Working agreements	145
2. The relationship between EPPO, OLAF and Europol	147
2.1. Europol	147
2.2. OLAF	148
2.3. The working agreement between EPPO and Europol	151
3. Conclusions	152
12.	
A PRACTICAL ANALYSIS OF EPPO'S OPERATION	
<i>Serena Cacciatore</i>	153
1. Introduction	153
2. The creation of the European Public Prosecutor's Office and its conse- quences	157
2.1. European perspective	161
2.2. Spanish perspective and Italian perspective	165
3. Final reflection	169
Bibliography	170

13.

THE EU'S RULE OF LAW TOOLBOX BETWEEN EVOLUTION
AND REVOLUTION

Serena Crespi

175

1. The foundational and constitutional nature of common values under Article 2 of the TEU 175
2. The notion of "Rule of Law" in light of EU case law 179
3. Tools for preventing violations of common values by Member States: the annual Reports of the European Commission on the Rule of Law 185
4. Following: The peer review system of the General Affairs Council 191
5. Reactive tools to the risk of violation or breach of common values: the mechanism provided for in Article 7 of the TEU 195
6. Following: the infringement procedure referred to in Articles 258-260 of the TFEU between theory... 201
7. ... And Practice 206
8. Preliminary ruling under Article 267 of the TFEU 211
9. The principle of mutual trust among Member States in EU infringement and preliminary case law: a new weapon to protect the Rule of Law? 215
10. The conditionality mechanism: Regulation 2020/2092 219
11. Conclusions... also in light of the recent directive on the protection of persons reporting violations of EU law 225